Dr. -Ing. Giok Djien Go

RECEIVED

SEP 2 0 2001

D-65510 Idstein, 09/03/2001 Pfahlgrabenstraße 45 phone/fax +49 6126 8949 gdgpat/pat2/pct-us

GROUP 3600

Mr Gerald Goldberg Director of Patent Technology Center 3600 USPTO

your reply of July 26 to my letter of June 2, 2000

Dear Mr Goldberg,

I may thank you for your endeavour to explain me the patent procedure at USPTO, which differs from the remaining Patent Offices.

I would be grateful if you would accept my proposition concerning the statutory period with regard to the mail-delivery time in the future and help me open a deposit account at USPTO. Please read the reason therefor in the attached letter.

You would agree with my opinion that there is a lot of ideas to be patented. Twish you would be happy when watching the enclosed photo displaying my stain-colour painting, which is not yet patented due to lack of time, on wood.

As proprietor and inventor of over 550 patented claims I assure you that one day you use products, based on my patents, in cars, trains, aeroplanes and safety vests. Three new European Petent

Thank you very much for your explanations.

Doer will be published.

kind regards

Go

Attached:

Letter to Deputy Commissioner of Patent Examination Policy photo

Dr. Ing. Cara Boom. 75
Pfahigrabenstr. 45
D-65510 Idstein

D-65510 Idstein, 09/03/2001 Pfahlgrabenstraße 45 phone/fax +49 6126 8949 gdgpat/pat2/pct-us

Registered

Deputy Commissioner of Patent Examination Policy US Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office Box DAC Washington DC 20231

Dear Sirs.

May I revise the decision of Mr Gerald Goldberg in page 4 please:

E13	10/08/99	4th OAS confirming the approval on the amended Claims and Abstract (E10, E11)	USPTO
E14	10/17/99	Fax disc g no objection of British Patent Office to the translation (E21)	to Morrow
E15	10/29/99	Fax to show of how to amend the Description	Morrow
E16	11/04/99	Amende scription faxed to Morrow's Office	C to Morrow
E17a-c	11/05/99	Amended Description faxed to Morrow's Office responsive to Morrow's Fax	of to Morrow and Morrow to Go
E18	11/05/99	Registered submittal of the amended Appl. (Description, Claims and Abstract)	Go to Morrow

I did have responded, within the deadline of Nov. 8, to the 4th OAS (Office Action Summary) of Oct. 8, 1999, listed as E13 ref. to above-mentioned Table of Chronological Enclosures, by faxing the statement of British Patent Office, listed as E14, on Oct. 17 and the amended Description, listed as E 16 and E17a-c, on Nov. 4 and 5. Furthermore, I submitted the amended Appl. (Description, Claims and Abstract) on Nov. 5. Beyond doubt, the 2nd submittal was within the deadline of Nov. 8, unfortunately, delivered to USPTO by mail on Nov. 9.

His letter dated July 26, 2001 was delivered on Aug., 2. However, the letter of Mr Morrow dated Aug., 1, in which a three-month statutory period is set, was delivered on Aug., 25. If the statutory period were valid for one month, response thereto could never be submitted in time! This long mail delivery for 24 days happened for the second time. At the first time I phoned Mr Pedder to express my objection thereto.

European, German, French, British and other Patent Offices reckon on the mailing day of submittals because postal service can be on strike. May I make you a proposition that in the future a statutory period be extended by the time for mail-delivery back and forth, which usually consumes two weeks. If the mail-delivery takes a very long time, I have to phone and/or fax the examiner or USPTO.

Dr. ing. Glok Djien Go Pfahlgrabenstr. 45 D-65510 Idstein Unavoidable delay resulted from the confusion, when reading the 5th OAS of 04/06/2000, which contradicts to the 4th OAS, the preparation for the submittal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, the time to wait for a decision, where I had already completed the response, incl. a marked-up copy, thereto, and the common procedure, practised by the above-mentioned Patent Offices, of not interfering therein by forwarding that response.

Herewith, I file in all papers incl. a response to the 5th OAS of 04/06/2000, marked-up copy, Statement of inventor, declaring that new matters; features and figures are included, Notice of Allowability, listing the amended Figs., petition and check of \$ 55, required for filing a petition under unavoidable delay and small entity.

I would appreciate if you would help open an account by forwarding the attached letters to the Account and Financial Dept. and recommending the officers therefor. Please forward the letter to Mrs. Paulette Kidwell Paralegal.

Thank you very much in advance for your help.

kind regards

Attached:

Go

Letter to the Account 1 Financial Dept

Description "An increased stiffness of vehicle structure" and claus with/without reference numeral in normal space, double space

Marked-up copy, Statement of inventor, Notice of Allowability, Erroneous Figs., Objected phrases used in Claims

Check of \$ 55

Weihnachtsgemälde "MARIA + KIND"

Herrn Dr. Ing Giok Djien GO Pfahlgrabenstr 45 <u>6270_Idstein_-Eschenhahn</u>

Seine Maltechnik bei Verwendung von BEIZEFARBEN unter Berücksichtigung der HOLZEIGENSCHAFT stellt ein NOVUM auf der Welt dar. Zur Realisierung dieses Sujets verwendete der Maler die Diagonalkomposition mit den dominaten Figuren "KIND" und "MARIA" sowie mit der Nebenfigur "DREI KERZEN", die entweder "DREI KÖNIGE" oder seine "DREI KINDER" symbolisieren. Zur Verlagerung der Farbkomposition senktrecht zur Symbolisieren. Zur Verlagerung der Bekleidung für das "KIND" bei. Außerdem bilden die Farben (gelb – lila) der übereinanderliegenden Handärmel einen LEICHTEN KONTRAST . Genauso läßt sich der dominante Effekt durch Farbgebungen stärker erzielen :

- Heiligenscheine in gelb und in gelb/grün


- beide Gesichter in mittel grün/schwarz und leicht grün/schwarz

Die lächelnden Gesichter beim Augenkontakt belegen die Aussage zum freudigen Ereignis. Es handelt sich hierbei um das 1. Gemälde der Reihe "Weihnachtsmotiv", die durch die Anfrage ,ob der Maler der Fa. Prime Computervision GmbH Gemälde für die Weihnachtsgrußkarten zur Verfügung stellen konnte, entstanden ist.

```
Einzelausstellungen:
19.11.1984 - 03.01.1985 Sparkasse in Letmathe
19.10.1985 - 08.11.1985 Galerie der Zeitung Wiesbadener Tagblatt
18.11.1985 - 06.12.1985 Nassauische Sparkasse
                             Bahnhofstr in Wiesbaden
11.12.1985 - 31.01.1986 Prime in Wiesbaden
30.09.1989 - 16.10.1989 : in Idstein
04.05.1990 - 25.05.1990 Las in Idstein
Gemeinschaftsausstellungen:
07.12.1985 - 08.12.1985 Hauptgeschäftsstelle Nassauische Sparkasse
                             lheinstr in Wiesbaden
13.01.1989 - 22.01.1989 Swadthalle in Idstein
04.05.1989 - 28.05.1989 Galerie Amtshof in Bad Camberg
06.03.1992
                         Nassauische Sparkasse in Bad Cambero
April 1992
                         Dresdner Bank in Taunusstr Wiesbaden
```

Zeitungsberichte:

17.11.1984 Westfalenpost
Westfälische Rundschau
Iserlohner Kreisanzeige
22.10.1985 Wiesbadener Tagblatt
09.12.1985 Wiesbadener Tagblatt
03/1985 PRIME TIME
01/1986 PRIME TIME
16.01.1989 Idsteiner Zeitung



This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS
MAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.